+18453597798

Claims 1 and 3-12 are in the case and presented for consideration.

Claims 10-12 were objected to for various informalities. Applicant thanks the examiner for pointing these out. Claims 10-12 have been drafted to avoid the examiner's objections and are believed to be in proper form.

Claims 1 and 3-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by EP 0 823 718 to Klee et al. The examiner has suggested that the claims be amended to include the limitation that the first electrode having a first surface is disposed directly on the substrate. Claims 1 and 9-12 have been amended according to the examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the application and claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

P.008

If any issues remain which may be resolved by telephonic communication, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the number below, if such will advance the application to allowance.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Yan Glickberg

Reg. No. 51,742 Attorney for Applicants

Phone: (845) 359-7700

Dated: March 24, 2004

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C. 100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110 Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

Customer No. 21706